	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
	Аррисац и н .	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/084,387	MASAKI ET AL.
Nouce of Allowability	Examiner	Artonic
	Bentsu Ro	2837
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>applicant's RCE filed</u>	<u>4/13/2004</u> .	
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,2,4-8,11-17 and 19-23.		
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> are accepted by the	he Examiner.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unal	e been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply MENT of this application. whitted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declarate st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO s Amendment / Comment or in the Comment or in the Comment of the drawithe header according to 37 CFR 1.121(seit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL I	complying with the requirements S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ation is deficient. 948) attached Office action of ags in the front (not the back) fid). must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No./Mail Date 4/13/04 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 08), 7. Examiner's Amend	te
		Bentsu Ro Senior Examiner Art Unit: 2837

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

This application was allowed on 2/2/2004. However, applicant abandoned this application on 4/13/2004 and filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) with a sheet of Information Disclosure Statement (IDS). Thus the purpose of this RCE is to have the examiner to consider the cited references.

In the IDS, applicant has cited three references. These references do not teach the claimed subject matter, therefore, this application is still allowable.

The following explanation describes the difference between the cited references and the claimed subject matter.

US Patent No. 5,903,129:

This reference teaches a current sensor 7 for sensing a motor phase current (Fig. 1). The sensed motor current is used to determine the rotor position via several circuits including phase conversion, coordinate conversion, angular velocity determination and low pass filter, etc (Fig. 2).

The claims are claiming a rotor position determination based on (1) a current differential value Δiu (see applicant's Fig. 1), (2) reference current differential value Δi cu, and (3) comparing the difference between the Δiu and the Δi cu to determine the rotor position. See claims 1, 2, 4, 17.

The Patent 5,903,129 does not teach a differential current value for determining a rotor position.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,387

Art Unit: 2837

EP 0 856b937 A2:

This reference teaches a motor rotor position determination based on (1) a differential normalized current waveform, see Fig. 1, step 50, (2) a variable voltage reference 36, see Fig. 2, and (3) comparing the difference between the differential current waveform with the reference voltage to determine a rotor position.

This reference does not teach a reference current differential value and compare the differential current value with the reference current differential value as claimed in claims 1, 2, 4, 17.

EP 1 107 448 A2:

This reference teaches a motor rotor position determination based on the difference of two consecutive current vector difference signals, namely, based on a double difference current vector signal.

The following is an analogous example. If we read "current" as a "position" in our more familiar physics, then this EP reference teaches an "acceleration" signal alone as a signal for the rotor position determination whereas the claims are claiming a comparison or a difference of a "measured velocity" with a "reference velocity" as a signal for rotor position determination.

2. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/084,387

Art Unit: 2837

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bentsu Ro whose telephone number is 571 272-2072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-5:00.

4. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bentsu Ro Senior Examiner Art Unit 2837